For the Northern District of California

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTI	DICT OF CALIFORNIA
8		MC1 OF CALIFORNIA
9	JUAN VARGAS AND HILDA VARGAS,	
10	Plaintiffs,	No. C 12-02008 WHA
11	V.	
12	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., AKA WACHOVIA MORTGAGE, A DIVISION OF	ORDER REQUIRING
13	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. AND F/K/A WACHOVIA MORTGAGE FSB, FORMERLY	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING
14	KNOWN AS WORLD SAVINGS BANK, FSB, AS BENEFICIARY; CAL-WESTERN	
15	RECONVEYANCE CORPORATION, a CALIFORNIA CORPORATION and all persons	
16	claiming by, through, or under such entities or persons; and all persons unknown, claiming any	
17	legal or equitable right, title, estate, lien, or interest in the real property described in the	
18	complaint adverse to Plaintiffs title thereto, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	
19	Defendants.	
20	/	
21	At oral argument, the parties were instructed	to concurrently file mandatory supplementations

ental briefs regarding several under-developed issues in their briefing. The supplemental briefs should address the following:

- (1) The decisional law, both under state and federal, regarding whether rescission is the exclusive remedy for violation of California Civil Code Section 1632.
- (2) The legislative history of Section 1632 and whether or not the legislative history answers the above question regarding exclusive remedy.

(3) The general manner in which state courts, meaning the California Court of Appeal or
California Supreme Court, have treated the situation we have here, where a statute provides a
permissive remedy and is otherwise silent as to whether there are other remedies.

- (4) Whether plaintiffs are prepared to tender, and the amount that would be required under the law to effectuate tender.
- (5) The effect, if any, the foreclosure sale has on the Section 1632 claim. Please provide information as to whether the foreclosure sale occurred before or after the lawsuit was filed.
- (6) The history of the property in relation to the plaintiffs, including the financial history of the loans and payments made on those loans.

The parties must submit the supplemental briefs by NOVEMBER 2 at NOON. Either side may, but is not required to, file a reply brief that is limited to three pages. The deadline for filing the reply brief is **NOVEMBER 5** at **NOON**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 25, 2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE